## EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT

4		ALC: NO.			
		EACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL
	Ji DCI 建筑		453.42	STATE OF	<b>装成的</b>
	2 DDCI		3.57		32235
	EXDIR:				
193	PD/ICS	32.34.55		<b>建筑</b>	
A COL	DDI	Home	Sept.	14. (E.)	2002
*	DOAD	1920 194	7.44. A.	4,2,624	36 Sep 45
	DDO T		4		35 pt 1994
	DDS&T	30000		A. C.	Spirit Spirit
	GC /				
	(IG	EATONS NUM			
C'emman	Compt				
	D/EEO:				
	D/Pers 4				
	D/OEAS*				
	C/PAD/OEA	Sacrifolia.			
17.	SAZIA	- 5:22			
18	AO/DCI		-0460000	desire at	Principal Control
-19	C/IPD/OIS	<b>美洲</b>	温度。		
20	C/ACIS	20年最初			
21		2.424.64 P		September 1	4502673
22		A NAME OF THE OWNER OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWN			Charles Control
	SUSPENSE			The Trans	100000
200 E	All the second s	operation of the second	Data :		

Ramarks:

Executive Secretary
8/22/83

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/12/14: CIA-RDP87M00	0539R001001390023-0
DEPARTMENT OF STATE ORIG to WH EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT COPIES: TRANSMITTAL FORM	Buocultre Regardy 3-14/175
D contact of the cont	8323526 August 19, 1983
S/S S/S-S For: Mr. William P. Clark TMA National Security Council TMB The White House TMC	August 17, 1983
EUR RETERECE: H DES To: President Reagan Prom: Mr. John M	<b>Noakley</b>
ACDA Date: 8/19/83 Subject: Ltr to Proceed to the Company of the C	res FM 106 Congressmen
The attached item was sent directly t  Department of State.	(if any)
Action Takens	
A draft reply is attached A draft reply will be forwarded.	
A translation is attached.	
An information copy of a direct reply  We believe no response is necessary for cited below.	is attached. 2 or the reason
The Department of State has no objection proposed travel.	ion to the
Other. William I have been a second or the second of the s	
Remarks: The attached incoming letter is almost except the letter on this subject addressed to the President other scientists. (S/S 8323009, NSC 154475). A letter was sent by PM Director RADM. Howe August 1 forwarded to you via S/S 8323526, NSC 8305282. The in substance the same as already used in RADMATALE Howe's reply to Dr. Bethe.	from Dr. Hans Bethe and direct reply to the Bethe 7, a copy of which was e attached draft reply is Hill Secretary
	ed:PM:RDean PM/SNP:JAGordon EUR/SOV:LNapper (1/34)
NASA:PSmith	H:EBowen OES/SAT:WLowell] P:AKanter DCI
OSD: KStansberr	ACDA:VAlessi EXEC STAT

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/12/14 : CIA-RDP87M00539R001001390023-0

## SUGGESTED REPLY

Dear Mr. Moakley:

I have been asked to reply on behalf of the President to the July 19, 1983 letter in which you and a number of colleagues urged that the United States propose to the Soviet Union a mutual moratorium on the testing of antisatellite weapons in space.

As you know, the United States government has a broad commitment to achieving equitable and effective arms control measures. This commitment is reflected in our proposals for substantial, verifiable reductions in nuclear and conventional arsenals in the ongoing START, INF, and MBFR negotiations, and in our proposals in other areas of arms control as well. This commitment also extends to issues of outer space arms control. We are parties to a number of existing agreements containing space arms control provisions. The US National Space Policy, released by the President on July 4, 1982, states that "the United States will consider verifiable and equitable arms control measures that would ban or otherwise limit testing and deployment of specific weapons systems, should those measures be compatible with United States national security."

During 1978-1979, the United States and the Soviet Union conducted bilateral negotiations specifically on antisatellite arms control. These negotiations made it clear, however, that there are significant substantive problems for which solutions must be found before we are in a position to make serious, practical proposals for reaching an agreement. Because of these problems it cannot be assumed that, should a moratorium

The Honorable

John Joseph Moakley,

House of Representatives.
Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/12/14: CIA-RDP87M00539R001001390023-0

on testing take place, a verifiable antisatellite arms control agreement necessarily will follow a reasonable time thereafter. In the absence of such an expectation, a moratorium would put the US in the position of accepting for the indefinite future a proven Soviet ASAT capability which we could not deter with a system of our own.

Among the problems is one to which you refer in your letter, that of verification. To date, no satisfactory way has been found to verify effectively the dismantling and destruction of the operational Soviet ASAT system. The system is relatively small and is fitted to a Soviet space booster used for other space launch missions. We do not know how many such ASAT systems have been manufactured, and it would be relatively easy to maintain a covert supply for use in a crisis. Since satellites which serve US and Allied national security are very few in number, any Soviet cheating on an ASAT agreement, even on a small scale, could pose a prohibitive risk.

There are also problems in defining such broad terms as space "weapons". There are a number of weapons systems, such as the Soviet ABM system, which while not designed for ASAT use could have some residual ASAT capability. These definitional problems would further complicate the negotiation of a test moratorium.

With respect to the assumption in your letter that an "arms race" in space exists, I would point out that US use of space has always been non-aggressive. Other than a commitment to develop an antisatellite capability similar to that which the

Soviet Union already possesses, the US has no commitment to develop any space weapons systems. The purpose of the US ASAT capability, which has been in development for several years, is two-fold: first, to deter threats to our own space systems, and second, within such limits as are imposed by international law, to deny any adversary the use of space-based systems that provide support for hostile military forces. We consider this a prudent response to the existing Soviet ASAT weapon, and to Soviet deployment in recent years of a number of military satellites which, while not weapons themselves, are designed to support directly the USSR's terrestrial forces in the event of a conflict.

We continue to study these issues carefully, and are presently participating in multilateral talks in the Committee on Disarmament in Geneva on a mandate for a new Working Group to discuss space arms control. As I have noted, however, difficult obstacles remain, and at present we believe it is advisable to continue with the CD discussions, but not to enter into negotiations in this area unless concrete proposals can be developed which surmount these obstacles.

Sincerely,

Drafted:PM/SNP:JMHall 8/8/83 x25399 0060C

Cleared: PM: RDean

PM/SNP: JAGordon EUR/SOV: LNapper

H: EBowen

OES/SAT:WLowell

P:AKanter

ACDA: VAlessi

CIA

JCS: CPearcy

NASA: PSmith

NSC:

OSD: KStansberry

STAT

のつづれてつた Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/12/14: CIA-RDP87M00539R001001390023-0

ID 8305282

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

PAGE EO1

REFERRAL

DATE: 29 JUL 83

MEMORANDUM FOR: DEPT OF STATE

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION: TO: PRESIDENT

SOURCE: MOAKLEY, JOHN J

DATE: 19 JUL 83

KEYWORDS: ASAT

SUBJ: LTR TO PRES FM 106 CONGRESSMEN RE ASAT

REQUIRED ACTION: DRAFT REPLY FOR WH SIG

DUEDATE: 04 AUG 83

COMMENTS: ---

FOR ROBERT M. KIMMITT

EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/12/14 : CIA-RDP87M00539R001001390023-0

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/12/14: CIA-RDP87M00539R001001390023-0

## Congress of the United States

House of Representatives

Washington, D.C. 20515

July 19, 1983

154870

President Ronald Reagan The White House Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. President:

We are deeply concerned about the prospect of an arms race in space and strongly believe that it is in the supreme national security interest of the United States to avoid such a race. The U.S. is highly dependent on its space-based military assets for vital communications, navigation, intelligence, and treaty verification purposes. Satellites provide essential and irreplaceable command and control services to our conventional and nuclear forces. The continued development of anti-satellite (ASAT) capabilities would jeopardize our security, erode international stability, and undermine the possibility for reaching future arms control agreements.

The United States should immediately propose to the Soviet Union a mutual moratorium on the testing of anti-satellite weapons in space.

A mutual moratorium on ASAT testing would slow the momentum of the arms race in space, as well as set the stage for negotiations limiting such weapons. If the new American ASAT is tested to operational readiness, the verification problems it would create could very well preclude any future negotiations to ban ASAT's. Given the difficulties that such negotiations will surely face and the time needed to reach agreement on, and ratify, a space weapons treaty, it is important that we not undercut such an effort by continued ASAT testing. The present Soviet ASAT has very limited capabilities, since it cannot attack our most vital military satellites and has a demonstrated low reliability rate. Thus, a mutual ban on ASAT testing would not place the U.S. at a disadvantage. Since the American ASAT is much more advanced and capable than the Soviet ASAT, there are compelling incentives for Soviet compliance with the mutual test moratorium.

Historical precedent for the use of mutual declaratory policies to regulate the superpower arms race has already been established. On August 5th we will mark the twentieth anniversary of the signing of the Limited Test Ban Treaty of 1963. That treaty was preceded by a test moratorium, established in 1958 through mutual declarations by the Soviet Union and the United States. The speed with which the treaty was concluded was in part a product of the experience gained during this mutual test moratorium.

President Reagan Page II July 19, 1983

This is a matter of the utmost concern. We urge you to take the historical initiative and move immediately to propose to the Soviet leadership a mutual moratorium on the testing of anti-satellite weapons in space.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

. *		Sincerely,	
	st housel		Front
	JOHN JOSEPH MOAKIEY, M.C.	JI	LACH, M.C.
			200
	hel berne MEL LEVINE, M.C.		Variable Charm
	MEL LEVINE, M.C.	GEO	RGE E. BROWN, JR., M.C.
	Bully Bedell	1	
	BERKLEY BEDELL, M.C.		ASPIN, M.C.
	telen feinen		Mark Machine.
. /	JOHN F. SEIBERLING, M.C.	MAR	GE ROUKEMA, M.C.
	PAUL SIMON, M.C.	HOW	AD MOLPH, MIC.
			The March and
	Ledy tell		WAA HUGNAN
1	RONALD V. DELLUMS, M.C.	EDW	ARD F JEIGHAN, M.C.
	1/2/		
	MIKE LOWRY, M.E.	ROB	ERT A. ROE, M.C.
	Burnard - Surller	A	MMM
	BERNARD J. DWYER, M.C.	DOU	GLAS H. BOSCO, M.C.

President Reagan Page III July 19, 1983

WALTER E. FAUNTROY, M.C

JAMES WEAVER, M.C. EDWARD J. MARKEY, M.C. President Reagan Page IV July 19, 1983

WILLIAM D. FORD, M.C.

GARY L. ACKERMAN, M.C.

JAMES R. OLIN, M.C.

JAMES F. MCNULTY, M.C.

ARLES E. SCHUMER, M.C.

JULIAN C. DIXON, M.C.

PATRICIA SCHROEDER, M.C.

William R. RATCHFORD, M.C.

President Reagan Page V July 19, 1983

PARREN J. MITCHELL, M.C. William J. Coyne

DON BONKER, M.C

President Reagan Page VI July 19, 1983

DALE E. KILDEE, M.C. HENRY A. WAXMAN, Michael W. B. MICHAEL D. BARNES, M

JOHN CONYERS, JR., M.C. BRIAN J. DONNELLY, M.C GERRY SIKORSKI, M.C. FRANK HARRISON, M.C. KART, M.C.

President Reagan Page VII July 19, 1983

SAM GEJDENSON, M.C.

BILL RICHARDSON, M.C.

ROBERT GARCIA, M.C.

GERALDINE A. FERRARO, M.C.

JAMES M. JEFFORDS, M.C.

Pat WILLIAMS, M.C.

JAMES R. JONES, M.J.

JOSEPH/P! ADDABBO, M.C.

DAN GLICKMAN, M.C.

DAN GLICKMAN, M.C.

WILLIAM H. GRAY, ID, M.C.

WILLIAM A. MIKULSKI, M.C.

RICHARD H. LEHMAN, M.C.

The state of the

M. in laws

OLYMPIA J. SNOWE, M.C.

LES AUCOIN, M.C.

WILLIAM CLAY, M.C